School of Electronics, Devi Ahilya University, Indore M Tech Project Supervisor Feedback

| Name of student: HEM CHAND JAIN | |
|--|------|
| Course and roll number: M. Tech (ES) 17MTES09 | |
| Title of project: AA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | DMT |
| Name & address of the supervisor: Akhilesh Chandra Michia | |
| STMicroelectronics, Greater Contact No.: 9891351262 Email: akuilesh.chendra @ st.com | Nnda |

Project Quality Weightings: Please rate the student from 'O'(Outstanding) to 'P' (Pass)

| 1 | Understanding | Approach & methodology | Punctuality | Results | Presentation/ Report Preparation Quality | Total |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---|-------|
| Max. Marks | 20 | 25 | 10 | 25 | 20 | 100 |
| Marks obtained | 20 | 24 | 10 | 25 | 20 | 99 |
| | | | (According to P | roject Quality | Grade obtained Descriptor shown below) | |

Project Quality Descriptions:

| Grade | 0 | A+ | A | B+ | В | С | P | F |
|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Weightings | 90-100 | 80-90 | 70-80 | 60-70 | 50-60 | 40-50 | 30-40 | 0-30 |
| Descriptor | Outstanding | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Poor | Pass | No effort |

| Other comments on the student's p | performance in this internship: HemcHAND | is very good |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|
| in defining in new | methodology & it help me | 10 sedefine |
| 000/100 | | |
| | | |

Date: 9/1/2019 Signature: _______

Please return the scanned copy of completed questionnaire by email to dr.abhaykumar@gmail.com

School of Electronics, Devi Ahilya University, Indore M Tech Project Supervisor Feedback

| T T 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|-------------------|---------------------|
| Understanding | | Approach & methodology | | Punctuality | | | | Presentation/ Report Preparation Quality | | Total |
| 20 | | 25 | | 10 | | 25 | 2 | 20 | | 100 |
| 20 | | 24 | | 10 | | 25 | 20 | | 99 | |
| | | | | (Accor | rding to P | roject Qualit | ty Des | | | |
| ality Descrip | tiors: | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | A+ | | A | E | 3+ | В | | С | P | F |
| 90-100 | 80-90 | | 70-80 | 6 | 50-70 | 50-60 | | 40-50 | 30-40 | 0-30 |
| Outstanding | Excell | ent | Very Go | od C | Good | Satisfac | tory | Poor | Pass | No effort |
| - | ality Descrip | 20 20 20 A+ 90-100 80-90 | 20 25 2 0 2 4 2 0 A+ 90-100 80-90 | 20 25 2 0 2 4 ality Descriptiors: O | 20 25 10 2 0 2 4 1 0 (Accordance) (Accordance) A+ A F 90-100 80-90 70-80 6 | 20 25 10 2 Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q | 20 25 10 25 Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q | 20 25 10 25 20 2 0 2 4 1 0 25 (According to Project Quality Descriptiors: O A+ A B+ B 90-100 80-90 70-80 60-70 50-60 | 20 25 10 25 20 2 | 20 25 10 25 20 Q |