

Analysis of Student's Feedback on Curriculum and Action Taken Report (ATR)

SCHOOL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION

Academic Year : 18-19

Course Name : B.P.E.S/M.P.Ed Semester : All

The department has obtained feedback on curriculum from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the students on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

S. N.	Feedback on Course Curriculum	Poor (1)	Average (2)	Good (3)	Very Good (4)	Excellent (5)
1.	The syllabus is quite appropriate.	03	11	06	47.017.	0)
2.	The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate in relation to the level of course work.	62	16	07	02	00
3.	The depth of the course content is adequate in relation to the expected Course Outcomes (COs).	01	66	107	02	0)
4.	Almost entire syllabus was covered in the class by the teacher.	03	11	64	01	0
5.	The units/sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced.	05	80	05	02	61
6.	Syllabus equipped me with necessary technical skills to face the industry/academia	06	11	02	01	01
7.	The syllabus enabled me to improve my ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems.	04	09	06	02	00
8.	The course material is adequately available	02	10	08	01	00
	Feedback on Programme					
9.	Relevance of programme for career avenues in future	04	10	07	00	00
10.	Relevance of electives to the specialization chosen	06	68	03	04	00
	Feedback on Laboratory component					
11.	The laboratory experiments enhanced my understanding of the concepts and enabled me to relate theory to practice (Experiential learning).	08	05	05	03	00

No. of students participated in Feedback : 21

S. No. Comments / Suggestions obtained **Action Taken** Practical created reporte contents must be A added. Content should be reflected in depth. Boan suggesti labu 8

Signature of Head/ Coordinator

Date:



Analysis of Student's Feedback on Curriculum and Action Taken Report (ATR)

SCHOOL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION

Academic Year : 12-18

Course Name : B.P.E.S/M.P.Ed Semester

collected from the students on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters and analyzed for

S. N.	Feedback on Course Curriculum	Poor (1)	Average (2)	Good (3)	Very Good (4)	Excellent (5)
1.	The syllabus is quite appropriate.	01	0	105	05	05
2.	The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate in relation to the level of course work.	01	04	08	04	04
3.	The depth of the course content is adequate in relation to the expected Course Outcomes (COs).	00	07	08	04	02
4.	Almost entire syllabus was covered in the class by the teacher.	00	05	06	06	04
5.	The units/sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced.	00	0)	06	10	03
6.	Syllabus equipped me with necessary technical skills to face the industry/academia	00	04	09	04	04
7.	The syllabus enabled me to improve my ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems.	02	03	03	09	04
8.	The course material is adequately available	00	04	06	07	04
	Feedback on Programme					
9.	Relevance of programme for career avenues in future	00	06	07	06	02
10.	Relevance of electives to the specialization chosen	00	0)	09	06	05
	Feedback on Laboratory component					
11.	The laboratory experiments enhanced my understanding of the concepts and enabled me to relate theory to practice (Experiential learning).	0)	10	04	03	03

S. No.	Comments / Suggestions obtained	Action Taken
3. 110.	Proclecé l'aspects aud course materiel eureld be provedéd	1 eachers were I unstructed to proude hand ones to the
	as deflequés	sugaris choreld be quien on Postialonel

23 7. Signature of Head/ Coordinator

Date:

lub

FALL

The department has obtained feedback on curriculum from students through questionnaire. Feedback has been subsequent actions.



Analysis of Alumni Feedback on Curriculum and Action Taken Report (ATR)

SCHOOL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION

Academic Year : 17-18

Course Name : B.P.E.S/M.P.Ed : All

Semester

The department has obtained feedback on curriculum from Alumni through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the alumni on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Alumni participated in Feedback: <u>12</u>

S. N.	Feedback on Course Curriculum	Poor (1)	Average (2)	Good (3)	Very Good (4)	Excellent (5)
1.	How do you rate the program offered in terms of the load of the courses in different semesters?	80	05	00	04	03
2.	How do you rate the availability of the text and other resource materials?	3	07	03	01	0
3.	How do you rate the quality and relevance of the courses included into the curriculum?	00	OB	03	04	62
4.	How do you rate the treatment of the students irrespective of the background (gender, cast, community, creed etc.) in teaching and evaluation?	00	0]	06	03	.08
5.	How do you rate the ambience of the department for effective delivery of the academic process?	00	02	06	02	0)
6.	Relevance of programme for career avenues in future?	00	02	06	02	02
7.	How do you rate the outcomes that student has achieved from the courses?	00	03	04	05	00
8.	How do you rate the transparency of the evaluation system in the department?	00	01	02	ost	04
9.	How do you rate the school activities that help in setting jobs and placements?	01	01	03	05	02
10.	How do you rate the transformation of students after the completion of the course?	00	oy	03	04	01

Action Taken Comments / Suggestions obtained S. No. Changes one made & with be applicable from real serion. Willo Hanges in syllabus as per presel scenario. More exponere. 1. 2.



FORM- D2

DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE

Analysis of Parents Feedback on Curriculum and Action Taken Report (ATR)

SCHOOL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION

Academic Year : _____9-__9.

Course Name : B.P.E.S/M.P.Ed

: Au

Semester

The department has obtained feedback on curriculum from parents through questionnaire. Feedback has been collected from the parents on the following parameters and analyzed for subsequent actions.

No. of Parents participated in Feedback: 2014

S. N.	CURRICULUM	YES	NO
1.	Are you aware of the curriculum prescribed by the department /University?	20	00
2.	Are you satisfied with the relevance of Curriculum oriented towards job/ entrepreneurship/ skill development?	14	06
3.	Are you aware of the academic flexibility in the subjects in the courses?	18	02
4.	Are you conscious about the relevance of internship/projects?	16	04
5.	Are you aware of the value added courses conducted by the University?	17	03
6.	Are you aware of the teaching methodology/pedagogy followed in the Department/ University?	15	05
7.	Are you aware that the curricular and co-curricular activities (quiz, seminar, workshop, debate, internship etc.) are also mandatory to supplemental the learning outcomes?	17	03
8.	Are you aware of the fact that the minimum compulsory attendance of 75% is mandatory?	20	00
9.	Are you aware of course requirement (assignment, presentation, project etc.) along with the minimum attendance percentage?	20	0
10.	Are you aware that clearing the internal assessments (tests) is mandatory?	20	00
11.	The department conducts 2-3 internal tests and end semester examination. Are you aware of?	19	61
12.	Have you explored the DAVV website?	15	05

Comments / Suggestions obtained S. No. football Teacher Needed.

faculty to leach football is going to be appointed soon, as, port is advectured

Action Taken

Signature of Head/ Coordinator

Date:

Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore School of Physical Education

Date- 27.09.2016

То

Prof. S. P. Singh IQAC Coordinator Takshshila Campus, Khandwa Road Indore (M.P)

Sir,

Kindly find enclosed the Students Feedback Report of Jan 2016 to April 2016. The report is sent to you in percentile scale form for each subject taught by the concerned subject teachers.

Thanking You

Yours Sincerely

Head, School of Physical Education DAVV Indore

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Dr. V.B. Sathe
Subject Taught	Correctives
Session	Jan-Apr 2016
Class and Semester	BPE VI Sem
Total Students	41

Dear Faculty,

	Idents wants from you, so you can improve the	Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance	
Q.		-	Deen		Coord		Deeme		
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo- ndents	on Percentile	
		1	2	3	4	5	nuents	Scale	
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	36	145	38	95	
1	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	Ū	U	U	50	145	50	55	
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	48	130	38	94	
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	44	135	38	94	
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	2	0	44	130	38	93	
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	20	165	38	97	
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	48	130	38	94	
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	36	145	38	95	
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	2	0	16	165	38	96	
0	class room	U	2	U	10	105	50	50	
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	1	0	0	20	160	38	95	
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	20	165	38	97	
	•			No	Total			No	
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment	
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%	
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	29	5	4	38	76.3	13.2	10.5	
	of it being conducted.								
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	32	1	5	38	84.2	2.6	13.2	
	given.								
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	31	4	3	38	81.6	10.5	7.9	
	the same subject to your juniors?								
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	31	3	3	38	81.6	7.9	7.9	
	you any other subject?								
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	33	0	5	38	86.8	0.0	13.2	
N-				Dl					
No.	SECTION - C	Nata			given by				
1	What are your strength	Note:		-		-	nembers th	-	
2	What are your areas of weakness	1 .	•		•		esses and		
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject and (iii) curriculum	remarks given by the students from the feedback form.							
	<u> </u>	Grading Scale							
		<30% Very Poor							
				>30%<	50%	Poor			
						Averag	e		
	Signature of Head								
		>70%<90% Good >90% Excellent							

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Prof. DEEPAK MEHTA
Subject Taught	CRICKET SPECIALIZETION
Session	JAN- APR2016
Class and Semester	BPE-VI
Total Students	7

Dear Faculty,

the sti	idents wants from you, so you can improve the		lum and		content o			
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	3	0	0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	3	0	0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	3	0	0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	3	0	0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	3	0	0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0
No.	SECTION - C			 Pomorko	aiyon by	ctudent	-	
	What are your strength	Note:			d to all the		s nembers th	at thay
1 2				-				-
2	What are your areas of weakness Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject		-		-		esses and o	
3		reman	ks given	by the stu	idents from	n the ree	dback form	I.
	and (iii) curriculum							
					Grading S	cale		
	<					Very P	oor	
				>30%<		Poor		
				>50%<7		Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	ent	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	PROF.SUDHIRA CHANDEL
Subject Taught	GYMNASTICS SPECIALIZETION
Session	JAN- APR 2016
Class and Semester	BPE-VI
Total Students	6

Dear Faculty,

the stu	idents wants from you, so you can improve the		ium and		content o			
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	3	0	1	4	75.0	0.0	25.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	3	0	1	4	75.0	0.0	25.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	4	0	0	4	100.0	0.0	0.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	4	0	0	4	100.0	0.0	0.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	3	0	1	4	75.0	0.0	25.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty n	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	, weakne	esses and	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
					Grading S	scale		
						1		
				<30%		Very P	oor	
				>30%<	50%	Poor		
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	nt	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	PROF.S.K. YADAV
Subject Taught	BADMINTON SPECIALIZETION
Session	JAN- APR 2016
Class and Semester	BPE-VI
Total Students	11

Dear Faculty,

the stu	udents wants from you, so you can improve the		ium and		content o			
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	3	12	5	5	80
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	3	16	0	5	76
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	3	12	5	5	80
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	3	16	0	5	76
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	3	12	5	5	80
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	16	5	5	84
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	12	10	5	88
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	16	5	5	84
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	12	10	5	88
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	16	5	5	84
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	2	0	3	5	40.0	0.0	60.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	2	0	3	5	40.0	0.0	60.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	2	0	3	5	40.0	0.0	60.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	1	1	3	5	20.0	20.0	60.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	2	0	3	5	40.0	0.0	60.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty n	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	personaly note down their strength, weaknesses and other						
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
					Grading S	cale		
						1		
				<30%		Very P	oor	
		>30%<50% Poor						
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
		>90% Excellent						

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	PROF.AJAY KUMAR
Subject Taught	ATHLETICS SPECIALIZETION
Session	JAN- APR 2016
Class and Semester	BPE-VI
Total Students	5

Dear Faculty,

the sti	udents wants from you, so you can improve the		lum and		content o			
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	4	0	1	5	80.0	0.0	20.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	4	0	1	5	80.0	0.0	20.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	5	0	0	5	100.0	0.0	0.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	4	0	0	5	80.0	0.0	0.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	4	0	1	5	80.0	0.0	20.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty n	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	, weakne	esses and	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
					Grading S	scale		
						r		
				<30%		Very P	oor	
			>30%<50% Poor					
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	nt	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	PROF. V.F. PETER
Subject Taught	HOCKEY SPECIALIZETION
Session	JAN- APR 2016
Class and Semester	BPE-VI
Total Students	4

Dear Faculty,

the sti	udents wants from you, so you can improve the		lum and		content o			
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	4	15	4	95
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	8	10	4	90
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	4	15	4	95
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	4	15	4	95
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	4	15	4	95
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	0	20	4	100
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	1	0	3	4	25.0	0.0	75.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	2	0	2	4	50.0	0.0	50.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	2	0	2	4	50.0	0.0	50.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	2	0	2	4	50.0	0.0	50.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	2	0	2	4	50.0	0.0	50.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty n	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	, weakne	esses and	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
					Grading S	cale		
				<30%		Very P	oor	
		>30%<50% Poor						
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	e	
	Signature of Head			>70%<		Good		
	-			>90%		Excelle	ent	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Subject Taught	Dr. V.B. Sathe
Session	Kho-Kho SPECIALIZETION
Class and Semester	JAN- APR 2016
Total Students	BPE-VI

Dear Faculty,

	idents wants from you, so you can improve the							
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	4	10	3	93
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	3	0	0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	2	0	1	3	66.7	0.0	33.3
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	2	0	1	3	66.7	0.0	33.3
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	3	0	0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	2	0	1	3	66.7	0.0	33.3
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	S	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty m	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	personaly note down their strength, weaknesses and other						
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remarks given by the students from the feedback form.						1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
					Grading S	cale		
				<30%		Very Po	oor	
		>30%<50% Poor						
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
		>90% Excellent						

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE			
Faculty Name	Prof. Sudhira Chandel			
Subject Taught	Sports Training			
Session	Jan - Apr 2016			
Class and Semester	BPE- IV SEM			
Total Students	40			

Dear Faculty,

ine sit	udents wants from you, so you can improve the		ium and					
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	9	8	165	38	96
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	2	45	28	75	38	79
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	3	36	140	38	94
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	6	32	140	38	94
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	20	165	38	97
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	3	32	145	38	95
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	3	44	130	38	93
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	36	145	38	95
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	3	24	155	38	96
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	3	84	80	38	88
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	33	2	3	38	86.8	5.3	7.9
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	25	8	5	38	65.8	21.1	13.2
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	28	5	4	38	73.7	13.2	10.5
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	25	8	4	38	65.8	21.1	10.5
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	27	5	6	38	71.1	13.2	15.8
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty n	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	, weakne	esses and	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
					Grading S	scale		
						r.		
				<30%		Very P	oor	
				>30%<	50%	Poor		
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	e	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	ent	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE			
Faculty Name	DR. Samiksha Nayak			
Subject Taught	Kinesiology			
Session	Jan-Apr16			
Class and Semester	BPE- IV			
Total Students	40			

Dear Faculty,

the sti	e students wants from you, so you can improve the curriculum and course content of the subject as well.							
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	1	6	45	64	15	38	69
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	1	32	9	60	15	38	62
3	Teacher's communication skills	1	16	24	52	40	38	70
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	1	14	18	64	40	38	72
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	10	18	64	55	38	77
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	18	52	95	38	87
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	4	18	48	90	38	84
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	6	9	60	85	38	84
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	6	15	36	105	38	85
10	Teacher's overall performance	1	6	24	16	110	38	83
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	28	6	4	38	73.7	15.8	10.5
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	23	11	4	38	60.5	28.9	10.5
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	17	16	4	38	44.7	42.1	10.5
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	20	12	6	38	52.6	31.6	15.8
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	28	5	5	38	73.7	13.2	13.2
No.	SECTION - C				given by			_
1	What are your strength	Note:		•			nembers th	-
2	What are your areas of weakness		-		-		esses and	
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remarl	ks given	by the stu	udents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
		Grading Scale						
					craanig C	Juio		
				<30%		Very P	oor	
			>30%<50% Poor					
				>50%<	70%	Averag	e	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	nt	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Prof. S.P.Sharma
Subject Taught	Health Education
Session	Jan-Apr2016
Class and Semester	BPE IV Sem
Total Students	40

Dear Faculty,

the stu	udents wants from you, so you can improve the curriculum and course content of the subject as well.							
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	8	180	38	99
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	48	130	38	94
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	8	180	38	99
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	16	170	38	98
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	20	165	38	97
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	12	175	38	98
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	16	170	38	98
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	12	175	38	98
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	12	175	38	98
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	8	180	38	99
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	38	0	0	38	100.0	0.0	0.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	36	2	0	38	94.7	5.3	0.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	28	10	0	38	73.7	26.3	0.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	30	8	0	38	78.9	21.1	0.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	36	2	0	38	94.7	5.3	0.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	S	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty n	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	, weakne	esses and o	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
		Grading Scale						
		<30% Very Poor >30%<50%						
				>50%<7		Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	nt	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Mr. Dinesh Kaithwas
Subject Taught	Test Measurment
Session	Jan-Apr 16
Class and Semester	BPE VI Sem
Total Students	40

Dear Faculty,

the sti	idents wants from you, so you can improve the		lum and		content o			
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	6	124	25	38	82
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	4	185	38	99
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	128	30	38	83
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	3	32	145	38	95
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	140	15	38	82
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	24	160	38	97
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	3	148	0	38	79
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	32	150	38	96
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	152	0	38	80
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	21	0	155	38	93
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	35	3	0	38	92.1	7.9	0.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	25	13	0	38	65.8	34.2	0.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	38	0	0	38	100.0	0.0	0.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	24	14	0	38	63.2	36.8	0.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	37	1	0	38	97.4	2.6	0.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty m	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	, weakne	esses and	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
		Grading Scale						
						1		
		<30% Very Poor >30%<50% Poor						
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	nt	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Dr. Shonali Nargunde
Subject Taught	Sports Journlism
Session	Jan-Apr 16
Class and Semester	MPEd-II
Total Students	30

Dear Faculty,

the stu	tudents wants from you, so you can improve the curriculum and course content of the subject as well.							
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	2	6	60	30	24	82
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	9	56	35	24	83
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	4	9	48	35	24	80
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	1	6	6	36	45	24	78
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	15	36	50	24	84
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	4	6	48	40	24	82
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	4	3	68	20	24	79
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	1	4	6	48	35	24	78
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	4	6	60	25	24	79
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	2	15	52	25	24	78
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	11	11	2	24	45.8	45.8	8.3
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	16	8	0	24	66.7	33.3	0.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	17	6	0	24	70.8	25.0	0.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	12	10	2	24	50.0	41.7	8.3
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	9	8	6	24	37.5	33.3	25.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty n	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	, weakne	esses and	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
		Grading Scale <30%						
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	ent	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Prof. Ajay kumar
Subject Taught	Bio-Mechenics
Session	Jan-Apr 16
Class and Semester	MPEd- II
Total Students	30

Dear Faculty,

the sti	idents wants from you, so you can improve the		ium and					
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	96	5	25	81
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	0	125	25	100
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	72	35	25	86
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	64	45	25	87
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	88	15	25	82
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	4	120	25	99
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	0	125	25	100
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	92	10	25	82
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	0	125	25	100
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	4	120	25	99
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	25	0	0	25	100.0	0.0	0.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	19	4	2	25	76.0	16.0	8.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	25	0	0	25	100.0	0.0	0.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	25	0	0	25	100.0	0.0	0.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	7	5	13	25	28.0	20.0	52.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:					nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness			•			esses and	-
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject		•		•		dback form	
•	and (iii) curriculum		giron					
		Grading Scale						
		<30% Very Poor						
		>30%<50% Poor						
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	nt	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE	
Faculty Name	Mr.Pramod Kumar	
Subject Taught	Test & Measurment	
Session	Jan-Apr 16	
Class and Semester	MPEd-II	
Total Students	30	

Dear Faculty,

the sti	idents wants from you, so you can improve the		ium and		content o			
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	9	32	70	25	89
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	27	8	70	25	84
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	36	36	20	25	74
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	27	8	70	25	84
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	18	12	80	25	88
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	18	32	55	25	84
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	9	32	70	25	89
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	9	64	30	25	82
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	6	32	75	25	90
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	6	32	75	25	90
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	25	0	0	25	100.0	0.0	0.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	13	6	6	25	52.0	24.0	24.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	19	4	2	25	76.0	16.0	8.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	24	1	0	25	96.0	4.0	0.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	15	0	10	25	60.0	0.0	40.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty m	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	, weakne	esses and	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
		Grading Scale						
						1		
		<30% Very Poor						
				>30%<	50%	Poor		
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	nt	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Dr. Samiksha Nayak
Subject Taught	Athletics Care & Rehebilition
Session	Jan-Apr 16
Class and Semester	MPEd-II
Total Students	30

Dear Faculty,

	udents wants from you, so you can improve the									
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance		
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on		
							ndents	Percentile		
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale		
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	12	72	5	23	77		
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher									
2	Motivation provided	0	0	21	44	25	23	78		
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	15	32	50	23	84		
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	12	60	20	23	80		
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	6	32	65	23	90		
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	27	28	35	23	78		
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	2	12	56	20	23	78		
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	2	9	60	20	23	79		
	class room									
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	15	56	20	23	79		
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	2	30	28	25	23	74		
				No	Total			No		
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment		
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%		
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	20	2	1	23	87.0	8.7	4.3		
	of it being conducted.									
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	20	3	0	23	87.0	13.0	0.0		
	given.									
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	20	3	0	23	87.0	13.0	0.0		
	the same subject to your juniors?									
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	12	10	1	23	52.2	43.5	4.3		
	you any other subject?									
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	17	2	3	23	73.9	8.7	13.0		
No.	SECTION - C				given by					
1	What are your strength	Note:		-		-	nembers th	-		
2	What are your areas of weakness		-		-		esses and			
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject and (iii) curriculum	remarl	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	l.		
		Grading Scale								
				<30%		Very P	oor			
		>30%<50% Poor								
				>50%<		Averag	e			
	Signature of Head			>70%<		Good				
				>90%		Excelle				

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Dr. Ajay kumar
Subject Taught	Sports Biomechanics
Session	Jan-Apr 16
Class and Semester	MPEd-IV
Total Students	30

Dear Faculty,

	udents wants from you, so you can improve the	Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
Q.	SECTION - A		Deer		Good	llant		
No.	Section - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo- ndents	on Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5	nuents	Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	44	70	25	91
I	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher	U	U	U	44	70	25	51
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	52	60	25	90
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	40	75	25	92
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	3	36	75	25	91
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	3	36	75	25	91
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	3	32	80	25	92
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	3	36	75	25	91
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	24	95	25	95
5	class room	U	J	0	27	55	20	
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	3	40	70	25	90
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	40	75	25	92
10				No	Total		20	No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	12	2	11	25	48.0	8.0	44.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	16	3	6	25	64.0	12.0	24.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	14	2	9	25	56.0	8.0	36.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	14	4	7	25	56.0	16.0	28.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	8	4	13	25	32.0	16.0	52.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty n	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	i, weakne	esses and	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remarl	ks given	by the stu	udents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
					Grading S	scale		
				.000/		Martin D		
				<30%	50%	Very Po Poor	UOF	
				>30%<			0	
	Signature of Head			>70%<		Averag Good	5	
		1		~10/053				

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Dr. V.F. Peter
Subject Taught	Sports Management
Session	Jan-Apr 16
Class and Semester	MPEd-IV
Total Students	0

Dear Faculty,

the stu	idents wants from you, so you can improve the		ium and		content o			
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	4	120	25	99
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	8	115	25	98
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	9	40	60	25	87
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	8	115	25	98
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	12	4	100	25	93
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	16	105	25	97
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	6	8	105	25	95
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	3	4	115	25	98
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	4	120	25	99
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	4	120	25	99
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	16	0	9	25	64.0	0.0	36.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	22	0	3	25	88.0	0.0	12.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	19	0	6	25	76.0	0.0	24.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	18	0	7	25	72.0	0.0	28.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	3	0	22	25	12.0	0.0	88.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty n	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	personaly note down their strength, weaknesses and other						other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	I.
	and (iii) curriculum							
		Grading Scale						
				<30%		Very P	oor	
				>30%<	50%	Poor		
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	ent	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Prof. S.K.Yadav
Subject Taught	Badminton Specialization
Session	Jan-Apr 16
Class and Semester	MPEd IV Sem
Total Students	6

Dear Faculty,

the stu	idents wants from you, so you can improve the		ium and		content o			
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	4	20	5	96
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	4	20	5	96
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	8	15	5	92
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	4	20	5	96
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	4	20	5	96
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	0	25	5	100
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	5	0	0	5	100.0	0.0	0.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	3	2	0	5	60.0	40.0	0.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	5	0	0	5	100.0	0.0	0.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	3	2	0	5	60.0	40.0	0.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	4	1	0	5	80.0	20.0	0.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty m	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	, weakne	esses and	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
					Grading S	cale		
						1		
				<30%		Very Po	oor	
				>30%<	50%	Poor		
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	nt	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Mr. Mohan Choudhary
Subject Taught	Football Specialization
Session	Jan-Apr 16
Class and Semester	MPEd IV Sem
Total Students	4

Dear Faculty,

the stu	the students wants from you, so you can improve the curriculum and course content of the subject as well.							
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
Q. No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	12	5	4	85
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	16	0	4	80
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	12	5	4	85
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	16	0	4	80
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	16	0	4	80
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	16	0	4	80
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	16	0	4	80
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	16	0	4	80
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	16	0	4	80
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	16	0	4	80
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	1	0	3	4	25.0	0.0	75.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	1	0	3	4	25.0	0.0	75.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	2	0	2	4	50.0	0.0	50.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	2	0	2	4	50.0	0.0	50.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	0	0	4	4	0.0	0.0	100.0
No.	SECTION - C				given by			
1	What are your strength	Note:		-		-	nembers th	-
2	What are your areas of weakness		-		-		esses and	
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
		Grading Scale						
				6 06 <i>1</i>		· · -		
				<30%	-00/	Very P	oor	
				>30%<		Poor		
				>50%<7		Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	ent	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE	
Faculty Name	Prof. Deepak Mehta	
Subject Taught	Cricket Specialization	
Session	Jan-Apr 16	
Class and Semester	MPEd IVSem	
Total Students	3	

Dear Faculty,

the sti	udents wants from you, so you can improve the		lum and		content o			
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	4	10	3	93
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	4	10	3	93
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	4	10	3	93
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	4	10	3	93
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	4	10	3	93
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	0	15	3	100
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	2	0	1	3	66.7	0.0	33.3
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	2	0	1	3	66.7	0.0	33.3
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	3	0	0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	3	0	0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	3	0	0	3	100.0	0.0	0.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requested	d to all the	faculty m	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	, weakne	esses and	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	idents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
					Grading S	scale		
				<30%		Very Po	oor	
				>30%<	50%	Poor		
				>50%<7	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	nt	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Prof. Ajay Kumar
Subject Taught	Athletics Specialization
Session	Jan-Apr 16
Class and Semester	MPEd IV Sem
Total Students	6

Dear Faculty,

the stu	he students wants from you, so you can improve the curriculum and course content of the subject as well.							
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on
							ndents	Percentile
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	8	15	5	92
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher							
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	8	15	5	92
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	12	10	5	88
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	8	15	5	92
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	12	10	5	88
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	8	15	5	92
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	12	10	5	88
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	8	15	5	92
	class room							
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	12	10	5	88
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	8	15	5	92
				No	Total			No
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	1	4	0	5	20.0	80.0	0.0
	of it being conducted.							
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	0	4	1	5	0.0	80.0	20.0
	given.							
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	0	4	0	5	0.0	80.0	0.0
	the same subject to your juniors?							
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	0	5	0	5	0.0	100.0	0.0
	you any other subject?							
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	0	4	1	5	0.0	80.0	20.0
No.	SECTION - C			Remarks	given by	student	s	
1	What are your strength	Note:	It is	requeste	d to all the	faculty n	nembers th	at they
2	What are your areas of weakness	persor	naly note	down the	eir strength	n, weakne	esses and	other
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remar	ks given	by the stu	udents fron	n the fee	dback form	1.
	and (iii) curriculum							
		Grading Scale						
						1		
				<30%		Very P	oor	
				>30%<	50%	Poor		
				>50%<	70%	Averag	е	
	Signature of Head			>70%<	90%	Good		
				>90%		Excelle	ent	

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE
Faculty Name	Dr. V.F.Peter
Subject Taught	Hockey Specialization
Session	Jan-Apr 16
Class and Semester	MPEd III Sem
Total Students	6

Dear Faculty,

	udents wants from you, so you can improve the	Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance	
Q.								renormance	
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on	
		4	2	3		F	ndents	Percentile	
		1			4	5		Scale	
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	0	25	5	100	
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher								
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	4	20	5	96	
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	0	25	5	100	
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	4	20	5	96	
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	0	25	5	100	
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	4	20	5	96	
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	0	25	5	100	
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	0	25	5	100	
	class room								
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	4	20	5	96	
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	0	25	5	100	
				No	Total			No	
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment	
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%	
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	5	0	0	5	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	of it being conducted.								
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	4	1	0	5	80.0	20.0	0.0	
	given.								
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	3	2	0	5	60.0	40.0	0.0	
	the same subject to your juniors?								
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	5	0	0	5	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	you any other subject?								
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	4	1	0	5	80.0	20.0	0.0	
No.	SECTION - C	Remarks given by students							
1	What are your strength	Note: It is requested to all the faculty members that they							
2	What are your areas of weakness	personaly note down their strength, weaknesses and other							
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remarks given by the students from the feedback form.							
0	and (iii) curriculum	Toman	to given						
		Grading Scale							
			<30% Very Poor						
			>30%<50%				Poor		
			>50%<70%				Average		
Signature of Head			>70%<90% Good						
-			>90% Excellent						

STUDENT FEEDBACK EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Department	SOPE			
Faculty Name	Dr. Vivek B. Sathe			
Subject Taught	Кһо-Кһо			
Session	Jan-Apr 16			
Class and Semester	MPEd IV Sem			
Total Students	0			

Dear Faculty,

the sti	idents wants from you, so you can improve the		ium and		content o					
Q.		Very		Ave-		Exce-	Total	Performance		
No.	SECTION - A	Poor	Poor	rage	Good	llent	Respo-	on		
							ndents	Percentile		
		1	2	3	4	5		Scale		
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity &	0	0	0	0	25	5	100		
	Promotion of thinking ability by teacher									
2	Motivation provided	0	0	0	0	25	5	100		
3	Teacher's communication skills	0	0	0	0	25	5	100		
4	Teacher's regularity and punctuality	0	0	0	0	25	5	100		
5	Teacher's subject knowledge	0	0	0	0	25	5	100		
6	Completion and coverage of course	0	0	0	0	25	5	100		
7	Complements theory with practical examples	0	0	0	0	25	5	100		
8	Teacher's interaction & guidence outside the	0	0	0	0	25	5	100		
	class room									
9	Teacher's computer / IT skills (if applicable)	0	0	0	0	25	5	100		
10	Teacher's overall performance	0	0	0	0	25	5	100		
				No	Total			No		
Q.No.	SECTION - B	Yes	No	Com-	Resp-	Yes	No	Comment		
				Ments	ondent	%	%	%		
1	Result of test declared within two weeks	1	1	3	5	20.0	20.0	60.0		
	of it being conducted.									
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases	1	1	3	5	20.0	20.0	60.0		
	given.									
3	Would you recommend him / her to teach	0	1	4	5	0.0	20.0	80.0		
	the same subject to your juniors?									
4	Would you recommend him / her to teach	0	1	4	5	0.0	20.0	80.0		
	you any other subject?									
5	In your openion is this syllabus adequade?	0	1	4	5	0.0	20.0	80.0		
No.	SECTION - C	Remarks given by students								
1	What are your strength	Note: It is requested to all the faculty members that they								
2	What are your areas of weakness	personaly note down their strength, weaknesses and other								
3	Suggestion regarding (i) yourself, (ii) subject	remarks given by the students from the feedback form.					1.			
	and (iii) curriculum									
		Grading Scale								
				<30%		Very Poor				
			>30%<50%				Poor			
			>50%<70%				Average			
Signature of Head			>70%<90% Good							
		>90% Excellent								